ANIMAL

# Production performance and egg quality parameters in Hy-line brown laying hen in response to extra feed supplementation

Md Mortuza Hossain, Jae Hong Park, In Ho Kim<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Animal Resource and Science, Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, Korea

\*Corresponding author: inhokim@dankook.ac.kr

# Abstract

The purpose of this experiment was to determine the influence of providing laying hens with extra feed on egg production and egg quality parameters. A total of 480 laying hens (38-weeks old), were divided into five treatment groups (eight replicate cages/treatment and 12 layer/replicate) according to their starting body weight (1.98  $\pm$  0.05 kg) in this four-week feeding trial. Five different feed allowances of the same diet (105, 110, 115, 120, and 125 g·day<sup>-1</sup>·bird<sup>-1</sup>) were assigned to layers. Daily inspections of remaining feed (around 0.1g) and layer mortality (0%) showed no harmful impact of supplying extra feed to layers. Providing 120 and 125 g of feed per day to layers resulted in the highest final body weight, large-egg ratio, and improved yolk color among all treatment groups. Layers receiving 125 g of feed daily had the highest egg weight, but the highest egg production ratio was observed in layers receiving 110 g of feed/day. The additional supply of feed did not have a negative impact on the productive performance or egg quality of the layers. The provision of 125 g feed per day led to an improvement of large-egg ratio, egg weight, and yolk color, but likely led to obesity of the layers, which manifested as an increase in body weight and a decline in the egg production ratio. We concluded that 110 grams of feed was the proper quantity after taking into consideration the significance of the health of the laying hen to the overall production performance.

Key words: egg quality, extra feed supply, laying hen, productive performance

## Introduction

The poultry industry is growing rapidly because it offers rapid profit and produces affordable animal proteins (Rahman et al., 2017). The egg industry and nutritionists have been looking for novel strategies to maximize production efficiency in laying hens (Zaefarian et al., 2016). Birds need to get nutrients from feed to maintain their growth, productivity, reproduction, and a series of physiological activities. Therefore, an appropriate amount of daily feed intake is important to the animals to maximize their productive traits. In commercial laying hen farms the guidelines provided by the breeder company are usually followed. It is a well-known fact that hens modify the amount of feed they consume to correspond with the amount of energy they need. If hen consume more feed, they



### OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Hossain MM, Park JH, Kim IH. Production performance and egg quality parameters in Hy-line brown laying hen in response to extra feed supplementation. Korean Journal of Agricultural Science 50:291-298. https://doi.org/10.7744/kjoas.20230020

Received: January 25, 2023

Revised: April 26, 2023

Accepted: May 11, 2023

**Copyright:** © 2023 Korean Journal of Agricultural Science



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of

the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. will have access to more of the nutrients they need to production and growth, ultimately this will result in increased growth and productivity. But unrestricted feeding of layers had negative effects on growth and productive performance (Chen et al., 2006). However, the effects of the extra feed supply strategy on the growth and productive performance of layers are variable. It was reported that increasing feed intake of layers through dietary manipulation could improve growth performance, egg quality, egg production ratio, and immune status (Wan et al., 2021). Increased albumen and yolk weights were found through dietary fat supplementation, but no effect was seen on the weight of egg components (Grobas et al., 1999). Higher production rate was found in high-energy-feed supplemented group in laying hen (Mathlouthi et al., 2002). However, the effects of extra feed supply strategy on growth and productive performance had only been investigated in the broiler breeders (Chen et al., 2006), while not fully investigated in layers. According to the recommendations of the breeding company (Hy-Line, 2018), the feed intake of Hy-line brown laying hens based on age and body weight. The daily feed intake of layers in the control group was set at 105 g. The formulation of the extra feed supply model adopts a strategy, in which the amount of feed supply is increased in a moderate range to form different groups (105, 110, 115, 120, and 125 g day<sup>-1</sup> bird<sup>-1</sup>). The increment of feed supply was far less than that reported in the study of Chen et al. (2006), in which the extra feed supply model was set at 145 versus  $\geq$  290 g day<sup>-1</sup> bird<sup>-1</sup>. We hypothesized that the extra feed supply used in this study could improve the productive performance and egg quality in layers. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of extra feed supply on the productive performance and egg quality in layers, to evaluate the appropriate feed supply for improving productive performance and egg quality.

### Materials and methods

The Animal Care and Use Committee of Dankook University, South Korea gave their approval to the protocol of the study before it was carried out (DK-1-2033).

#### Experiment design, animals, and housing

A total of 480 Hy-line brown laying hens (38-week-old) with an average initial body weight of  $1.98 \pm 0.05$  kg were used for a 28-day trial. All layers were caged individually and randomly assigned to 5 treatments based on the initial body weight. There were 8 replicate cages per treatment and 12 layers per replicate. Layers were fed a mash diet designed to fulfill NRC standards for certain nutrients (NRC, 1994). The composition of the dietary feed used in this study is shown in Table 1. The layers were fed the same food in different amounts. Five different feed allowances (105, 110, 115, 120, and 125 g·day<sup>-1</sup>·bird<sup>-1</sup>) were assigned to layers. Each layer was reared in 38 cm  $\times$  50 cm  $\times$  40 cm steel cage with a nipple drinker, a shared trough for food and water, and a plate for collecting eggs in a room with natural ventilation and sunlight. The average ambient temperature was 23 degrees Celsius over the whole of the trial. In addition, there were 16 hours of daylight (05:00 - 21:00; 5.2 lux) and 8 hours of darkness every day. At all times throughout the trial, the chickens had free access to water.

| Ingredient                               | %      |
|--|--------|
| Com                                      | 66.05  |
| Soybean meal                             | 23.28  |
| Dicalcium phosphate                      | 1.00   |
| Limestone (fine)                         | 2.76   |
| Limestone (coarse)                       | 6.44   |
| Salt                                     | 0.05   |
| Methionine                               | 0.12   |
| Vitamin premix <sup>y</sup>              | 0.10   |
| Mineral premix <sup>z</sup>              | 0.10   |
| Choline                                  | 0.10   |
| Total                                    | 100.00 |
| Calculated composition (%)               |        |
| Dry matter                               | 89.85  |
| Moisture                                 | 10.15  |
| Crude protein                            | 16.02  |
| Crude fat                                | 2.70   |
| Crude fiber                              | 3.08   |
| Crude ash                                | 4.57   |
| Calcium                                  | 3.76   |
| Phosphorus                               | 0.55   |
| Available phosphorus                     | 0.32   |
| Lysine                                   | 0.75   |
| Methionine                               | 0.38   |
| Methionine + cystine                     | 0.65   |
| Threonine                                | 0.59   |
| Tryptophan                               | 0.21   |
| Arginine                                 | 1.03   |
| Alanine                                  | 0.84   |
| Aspartic acid                            | 1.54   |
| Glutamic acid                            | 2.85   |
| Glycine                                  | 0.64   |
| Proline                                  | 1.00   |
| Serine                                   | 0.76   |
| Tyrosine                                 | 0.59   |
| Isoleucine                               | 0.68   |
| Valine                                   | 0.77   |
| Leucine                                  | 1.44   |
| Phenylalanine                            | 0.79   |
| Histidine                                | 0.43   |
| Metabolism energy (MJ·kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 11.51  |
| Linoleic acid                            | 1.55   |

**Table 1.** Composition and nutrient levels of the experimental basal diet (%, as-fed basis).

<sup>y</sup> Provided per kg of diet: vitamin A, 8,000 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 3,300 IU; vitamin E, 20 g; vitamin K<sub>3</sub>, 2.5 g; vitamin B<sub>1</sub>, 2.5 g; vitamin B<sub>2</sub>, 5.5 g; vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 4 g; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 23 mg; biotin, 75 mg; folic acid, 0.9 g; niacin, 30 g; D-calcium pantothenate, 8 g.

<sup>2</sup> Provided per kg of diet: Fe, 40 g as ferrous sulfate; Cu, 8 g as copper sulfate; Mn, 90 g as manganese oxide; Zn, 80 g as zinc oxide; 1.2 g as potassium iodide; Se, 0.22 g as sodium selenite.

#### Sampling and measurements

The body weight of laying hens was weighed on days 1 and 28. Residual feed was recorded daily in each replicate cage. The number of dead layers was checked daily to measure the mortality. The number of eggs were recorded daily on a replicate basis to calculate the egg production ratio. Based on the recommendation of USDA Agricultural Marketing Service (2010), eggs with over 56.8 g were defined as large-egg and used to calculate the large-egg ratio in percentage. On 28<sup>th</sup> day, about 32 eggs (4 eggs per replicate) were randomly selected and weighed from each treatment for evaluating the egg quality parameters. After breaking the egg, a vernier caliper was used to calculate the albumen height. The haugh unit and yolk color were measured using an egg multi-tester (Touhoku Rhythm Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The eggshell without inner membrane was used to measure the eggshell thickness. A dial pipe gauge (Ozaki MFG. Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure the eggshell thickness was defined as the average. Eggshell force gauge type II (Robotmation Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to perform the evaluation of eggshell strength.

#### Statistical analyses

All of the data were analyzed statistically using a randomized block design and a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences in mean values were tested using Tukey's procedures. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

#### Results

Supplementation of 120 and 125 g of feed/day supplemented layers had the higher (p < 0.05) final body weight compared to the 105, 110, and 115 g of feed/day supplemented layers (Table 2). However, extra feed supply did not affect the residual feed and the mortality in layers throughout the experimental period. Layers receiving 115, 120, and 125 g of feed/day had the higher (p < 0.05) large-egg ratio compared to the 105 and 110 g of feed/day supplemented layers during days 1 - 7. In addition, 120, and 125 g of feed/day supplemented birds showed higher (p < 0.05) large-egg ratio compared to the 105 and 110 g of feed/day supplemented layers during days 1 - 7. In addition, 120, and 125 g of feed/day supplemented birds showed higher (p < 0.05) large-egg ratio compared to the 105, 110, and 115 g of feed/day supplemented group during days 15 - 28 (p < 0.05). However, higher (p < 0.05) large-egg ratio was found in 120 and 125 g of feed/day diet group in overall (day 1 - 28) feeding trial compared to the other group. In terms of egg production, significantly higher (p < 0.05) egg production was found in layers with 110 g of feed/day during the total experimental period. Supplementation of extra feed supply did not affect the egg quality parameters such as haugh unit, albumen height, eggshell strength, and eggshell thickness (Table 3). However, improved (p < 0.05) egg weight and yolk color were found through supplying extra feed in layers. Layers receiving 125 g of feed/day had the highest (p < 0.05) egg weight compared to other treatment groups. Moreover, 120 and 125 g of feed/day group of layers was found with higher (p < 0.05) yolk color.

| Thomas                   |                    | Feed supply (g·day <sup>-1</sup> ·bird <sup>-1</sup> ) |                     |                   |                     |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| Item                     | 105                | 110  | 115                 | 120               | 125                 |  |
| Body weight (kg)         |                    |  |                     |                   |                     |  |
| Initial                  | $1.99\pm0.04$      | $1.96\pm0.04$  | $1.96\pm0.04$       | $1.97\pm0.06$     | $2.00\pm0.06$       |  |
| Final                    | $1.77 \pm 0.05c$   | $1.80\pm0.03c$   | $1.96\pm0.03b$      | $2.05\pm0.03a$    | $2.03\pm0.02a$      |  |
| Mortality (%)            | $0.00\pm0.00$      | $0.00\pm0.00$  | $0.00\pm0.00$       | $0.00\pm0.00$     | $0.00\pm0.00$       |  |
| Residual feed (g)        |                    |  |                     |                   |                     |  |
| Days 1 - 7               | $0.00\pm0.00$      | $0.03\pm0.01$  | $0.03\pm0.02$       | $0.04\pm0.04$     | $0.06\pm0.02$       |  |
| Days 8 - 14              | $0.01\pm0.02$      | $0.03\pm0.03$  | $0.06\pm0.04$       | $0.07\pm0.05$     | $0.07\pm0.04$       |  |
| Days 15 - 21             | $0.02\pm0.02$      | $0.03\pm0.02$  | $0.05\pm0.03$       | $0.08\pm0.08$     | $0.06\pm0.04$       |  |
| Days 22 - 28             | $0.01\pm0.01$      | $0.02\pm0.03$  | $0.13\pm0.18$       | $0.08\pm0.03$     | $0.06\pm0.03$       |  |
| Days 1 - 28              | $0.01\pm0.01$      | $0.02\pm0.01$  | $0.07\pm0.05$       | $0.07\pm0.03$     | $0.06\pm0.01$       |  |
| Large-egg ratio (%)      |                    |  |                     |                   |                     |  |
| Days 1 - 7               | $27.13 \pm 2.35b$  | $27.17\pm2.08b$  | $34.13\pm2.89a$     | $36.72 \pm 2.97a$ | $33.98\pm2.02a$     |  |
| Days 8 - 14              | $30.39 \pm 2.05b$  | $30.83 \pm 3.46b$                                      | $34.85\pm2.40a$     | $36.45\pm3.34a$   | $35.77\pm2.33a$     |  |
| Days 15 - 21             | $29.47 \pm 4.33c$  | $31.47 \pm 3.83c$                                      | $45.88\pm2.92b$     | $53.46 \pm 2.29a$ | $51.21\pm3.00a$     |  |
| Days 22 - 28             | $27.54 \pm 2.10c$  | $29.90\pm2.15c$  | $38.66 \pm 1.43 b$  | $44.80 \pm 2.15a$ | $46.59\pm2.68a$     |  |
| Days 1 - 28              | $28.63 \pm 1.42c$  | $29.84 \pm 1.50 \text{c}$                              | $38.38 \pm 1.77b$   | $42.86 \pm 1.36a$ | $41.89 \pm 1.83a$   |  |
| Egg production ratio (%) |                    |  |                     |                   |                     |  |
| Days 1 - 7               | $88.10 \pm 3.18b$  | $92.56 \pm 2.68a$                                      | $86.16 \pm 3.71b$   | $89.88\pm3.18ab$  | $88.54 \pm 1.55 ab$ |  |
| Days 8 - 14              | $88.99 \pm 3.29 b$ | $93.60 \pm 2.54a$                                      | $85.12\pm2.20bc$    | $84.23\pm4.01c$   | $89.43 \pm 2.88 ab$ |  |
| Days 15 - 21             | $82.85\pm2.94c$    | $90.99 \pm 2.70a$                                      | $86.67\pm2.69b$     | $81.19\pm1.82c$   | $88.05\pm2.81ab$    |  |
| Days 22 - 28             | $84.77 \pm 2.22c$  | $93.36 \pm 2.94a$                                      | $89.97\pm2.94ab$    | $85.68 \pm 1.34c$ | $89.45\pm2.70b$     |  |
| Days 1 - 28              | $86.17 \pm 1.21c$  | $92.63 \pm 1.77a$                                      | $86.98 \pm 1.10 bc$ | $85.24 \pm 1.96c$ | $88.87 \pm 1.49b$   |  |

Table 2. Effects of extra feed supply on body weight and productive performance in Hy-line brown laying hens.

a - c: Different superscripts within same row indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05).

| Table 3. Effects of extra feed supply on | egg quality parameters in | Hv-line brown laving hens. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|
|  |                           |                            |

| Item                                     | Feed supply $(g \cdot day^{-1} \cdot bird^{-1})$ |                   |                  |                  |                   |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| hem                                      | 105  | 110               | 115              | 120              | 125               |
| Haugh unit                               | $89.20 \pm 11.07$                                | $87.77 \pm 7.74$  | $88.57 \pm 7.05$ | $87.69 \pm 7.54$ | $87.68 \pm 6.82$  |
| Egg weight (g)                           | $59.57 \pm 3.49 b$                               | $59.23 \pm 3.61b$ | $59.46\pm2.77b$  | $60.91\pm3.61ab$ | $62.64 \pm 4.22a$ |
| Yolk color                               | $7.73\pm0.58c$                                   | $7.93\pm0.58bc$   | $8.13\pm0.51ab$  | $8.33\pm0.48a$   | $8.43\pm0.57a$    |
| Albumen height (mm)                      | $8.16 \pm 2.25$                                  | $7.92 \pm 1.52$   | $7.73 \pm 1.53$  | $7.88 \pm 1.25$  | $7.77 \pm 1.31$   |
| Eggshell strength (kg·cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | $5.41 \pm 1.43$                                  | $5.05\pm0.87$     | $4.76\pm0.93$    | $4.98\pm0.83$    | $4.72\pm1.15$     |
| Eggshell thickness $(10^2 \text{ mm})$   | $39.31 \pm 1.79$                                 | $38.91 \pm 3.02$  | $39.29 \pm 1.52$ | $39.22 \pm 1.20$ | $37.97 \pm 2.12$  |

a - c: Different superscripts within same row indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05).

### Discussion

The body weight of the hen is highly significant for egg production, and it has a continuous impact on the efficiency of egg production throughout the production. Eggs produced by birds with lower overall body weights are also lower in weight (Connie et al., 1985). Higher body weight was found through the supplementation of surplus feed in laying hens in this study. In previous study, Onagbesan et al. (2006) reported that the increase of feed intake of layers led to higher body weight. Layers could get more nutrient ingredients through increasing feed intake, which was directly manifested in the increase of body weight. Generally, the increase of body weight of layers was beneficial for productive performance and egg quality

(Marono et al., 2017). However, obesity-induced body weight increase had negative effects on the performance of layers. Indeed, increasing feed supply will lead to obesity in layers (Richards et al., 2003). It has been reported that obesity-induced body weight increase in layers was related to the impairment of egg production ratio (Onagbesan et al., 2006). The ovaries of layers were particularly sensitive to over-feeding. Chen et al. (2006) mentioned that increasing feed supply of layers from 145 to 290 g per day led to obesity, ovarian abnormalities, apoptosis induced atresia occurred in the hierarchical follicles, and the decrease of fractional ovarian weight percentage, which directly manifested in the increase of body weight and the reduction of egg production ratio. In this particular investigation, giving layers 110 g of feed per day had no effect on their body weight but had a considerable impact on their egg production ratio. In previous study increase in egg production was found when higher feed intake as shown in laying hen, and this increased feed intake resulting in a greater supply of nutrients available for egg production (Pérez-Bonilla et al., 2012). However, a decrease in egg production ratio was observed when the daily feed allowance for laying hens was either increased or decreased from 110 g of feed per day. In comparison with 110 g of feed/ day, providing 115, 120, and 125 g of feed per day was excessive for layers and may lead to obesity, which was manifested in the increase of body weight and the decline of egg production ratio. Previous studies explained that the fall in egg production may be due the obesity in layer (Onagbesan et al., 2006). In brief, in terms of egg production, providing 110 g of feed daily could maximize the egg production ratio in layers.

Due to the consumers preference for large-egg, in some way it is beneficial to improve the commercial value of eggs to improve the large-egg ratio in poultry production through certain strategies (USDA Agricultural Marketing Service, 2010). The size of the egg may be the third most significant element in determining the amount of profit that can be made from a layer, behind the number of eggs deposited and the viability of the eggs. The only method to improve egg size in laying chickens, other than via genetics, is through proper feeding. In the present study, the extra feed supply strategy was beneficial to improve the large-egg ratio. In addition, we found that the weight of eggs used to measure egg quality was positively affected by the extra feed supply. This indicates the improvement of egg weight through supplying 120 - 125 g of feed/day in laying hen. According to Wu et al. (2005), an increased dietary energy intake causes laying hens to produce eggs with a heavier weight. Jiang et al. (2013) noted that the increase of feed intake will lead to excessive energy intake of layers, which will increase the available energy for egg production and lead to the production of large egg. Overall, the extra feed supply strategy was beneficial to the improvement of the large-egg ratio, which was helpful to increase the commercial value of eggs. Increasing feed supply did not adversely affect the egg quality of layers. However, the yolk color was improved through the extra feed supplementation. Egg yolk is an important parameter for consumer preference. The age of the hens, as well as their genetic makeup, and nutrition had a major impact on the color of the egg yolk (Kim et al., 2019; Kraus and Zita, 2019). Most importantly the pigment of egg yolk depends on the carotenoid contents in the feed (Grashorn, 2016). By supplying the carotenoid rich feed to laying hen, the yolk color can be improved through an increase in carotenoid deposition in the yolk. Additionally, it has been reported that increasing fat intake could enhance the deposition of carotenoids in the yolk (Chung et al., 2004). Sustained egg production was an energy intensive process (Richards et al., 2003), extra feed supply will increase the lipid supply to support the demand for egg yolk formation. In addition, the additional feed intake also led to an additional carotenoid intake. Therefore, increasing feed supply could improve the yolk color, thus improving the acceptance of consumers and benefiting the commercial value of eggs (Grashorn, 2016).

## Conclusion

According to the findings of this research, the production performance and egg quality of Hy-line layers increased when the feed supply was administered in accordance with the recommendations made by the breeding company. In particular, giving layers 110 g of feed/day was suitable for increasing the egg production ratio. While feeding layers 125 g per day may be an effective way to boost their large-egg ratio, egg weight, and yolk color, it likely also caused them to gain weight and slow down on the egg production ratio. But because the health of the laying hen is so important to their productive performance, we considered that 110 g was the appropriate amount of feed.

## **Conflict of Interests**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## Acknowledgements

The Department of Animal Resource & Science was supported through the Research-Focused Department Promotion & Interdisciplinary Convergence Research Projects as a part of the University Innovation Support Program for Dankook University in 2022.

## **Authors Information**

Md Mortuza Hossain, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6732-286X Jae Hong Park, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2025-0141 In Ho Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6652-2504

## References

- Chen SE, McMurtry JP, Walzem RL. 2006. Overfeeding-induced ovarian dysfunction in broiler breeder hens is associated with lipotoxicity. Poultry Science 85:70-81. DOI:10.1093/ps/85.1.70.
- Chung HT, Rasmussen HM, Johnson EJ. 2004. Lutein bioavailability is higher from lutein-enriched eggs than from supplements and spinach in men. Journal of Nutrition 134:1887-1893. DOI:10.1093/jn/134.8.1887.
- Connie LB, Beane WL, Ruszler PL, Cherry JA. 1985. Body weight influence on egg production. Poultry Science 64:2259-2262. DOI:10.3382/ps.0642259.
- Grashorn M. 2016. Feed additives for influencing chicken meat and egg yolk color. pp. 283-302. In Handbook on Natural Pigments in Food and Beverages edited by Carle R, Schweiggert RM. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, UK.
- Grobas S, Mendez J, de Blas C, Mateos GG. 1999. Influence of dietary energy, supplemental fat and linoleic acid concentration on performance of laying hens at two ages. British Poultry Science 40:681-687.
- Hy-Line. 2018. Hy-Line brown commercial layer management guide. Accessed in https://www.hyline.com/ filesimages/Hy-Line-Products/Hy-Line-Product-PDFs/Brown/BRN%20COM%20ENG.pdf on 20 January 2023.
- Jiang S, Cui L, Shi C, Ke X, Luo J, Hou J. 2013. Effects of dietary energy and calcium levels on performance, egg shell quality and bone metabolism in hens. Veterinary Journal 198:252-258. DOI:10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.07.017.

- Kim JH, Han GP, Kang HK, Kil DY. 2019. Comparison of energy and nutrient utilization in the aged laying hens with different eggshell strengths or different intensities of brown eggshell color. Korean Journal of Agricultural Science 46:569-577. DOI:10.7744/kjoas.20190041.
- Kraus A, Zita L. 2019. The effect of age and genotype on quality of eggs in brown egg-laying hybrids. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis 67:407-414. DOI:10.11118/actaun201967020407.
- Marono S, Loponte R, Lombardi P, Vassalotti G, Pero ME, Russo F, Gasco L, Parisi G, Piccolo G, Nizza S, et al. 2017. Productive performance and blood profiles of laying hens fed *Hermetia illucens* larvae meal as total replacement of soybean meal from 24 to 45 weeks of age. Poultry Science 96:1783-1790. DOI:10.3382/ps/pew461.
- Mathlouthi N, Larbier M, Mohamed MA, Lessire M. 2002. Performance of laying hens fed wheat, wheat-barley or wheatbarley-wheat bran based diets supplemented with xylanase. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 82:193-199.
- NRC (National Research Council). 1994. Nutrient requirements of poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Onagbesan OM, Metayer S, Tona K, Williams J, Decuypere E, Bruggeman V. 2006. Effects of genotype and feed allowance on plasma luteinizing hormones, follicle-stimulating hormones, progesterone, estradiol levels, follicle differentiation, and egg production rates of broiler breeder hens. Poultry Science 85:1245-1258. DOI:10.1093/ ps/85.7.1245.
- Pérez-Bonilla A, Novoa S, García J, Mohiti-Asli M, Frikha M, Mateos GG. 2012. Effects of energy concentration of the diet on productive performance and egg quality of brown egg-laying hens differing in initial body weight. Poultry Science 91:3156-3166. DOI:10.3382/ps.2012-02526.
- Rahman MS, Jang DH, Yu CJ. 2017. Poultry industry of Bangladesh: Entering a new phase. Korean Journal of Agricultural Science 44:272-282.
- Richards MP, Poch SM, Coon CN, Rosebrough RW, Ashwell CM, McMurtry JP. 2003. Feed restriction significantly alters lipogenic gene expression in broiler breeder chickens. Journal of Nutrition 133:707-715. DOI:10.1093/jn/133.3.707.
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) Agricultural Marketing Service. 2010. Voluntary shell egg grading regulations. USDA Agricultural Marketing Service, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Wan Y, Ma R, Khalid A, Chai L, Qi R, Liu W, Li J, Li Y, Zhan K. 2021. Effect of the pellet and mash feed forms on the productive performance, egg quality, nutrient metabolism, and intestinal morphology of two laying hen breeds. Animals 11:701. DOI:10.3390/ani11030701.
- Wu G, Bryant MM, Voitle RA, Roland DA. 2005. Effect of dietary energy on performance and egg composition of Bovans White and Dekalb White hens during phase 1. Poultry Science 84:1610-1615.
- Zaefarian F, Abdollahi MR, Ravindran V. 2016. Particle size and feed form in broiler diets: Impact on gastrointestinal tract development and gut health. World Poultry Science Journal 72:277-290. DOI:10.1017/S0043933916000222.